Original Article

Rapid detection of helicobacter pylori by endoscopic brush cytology and comparison with histopathology

Akhter J¹, Shrestha HG²

¹Lecturer, Department of Pathology, KMCTH, ²Professor and HoD, Department of Pathology, NMCTH

Abstract

Objectives: The main objective of this study was to assess antral brush cytology as an alternate approach for the diagnosis of *H. pylori* infection as compared to histopathology.

Methods: 75 cases with diagnosis of antral gastritis underwent endoscopic antral biopsy as well as antral brushing cytology was included irrespective of age and sex. Cytological slides were stained with Giemsa stain & biopsy slides were stained with H&E and Giemsa stain. Correlation was done between cytological smear slides and biopsy slides.

Results: Among 75 cases, *H. pylori* were positive in 55 (73.4%) cases of biopsy specimens while in brush cytology it was positive in 48 (64%) cases. In 45 (60%) of 75 cases, H. pylori was seen in both biopsy and brush cytology. **Conclusion:** Endoscopic brush cytology provides an accurate, inexpensive and easy technique in the rapid detection of *H.pylori* infection.

Key words: Brush cytology, H.pylori

Gastritis is simply defined as inflammation of gastric mucosa¹. *Helicobacter pylori* are gram negative, spirally shaped, unipolar multiflagellate bacteria, discovered by Warren and Marshall² in 1983. It colonizes antral gastric epithelium³. *H.pylori* accorded a major role in case of antral gastritis⁴. The outstanding importance of this field of research, namely multiple roles of this bacterium, has led to new and deeper efforts to develop the most reliable and easy techniques to diagnose H. pylori⁵.

Our study is centered on cytological diagnosis of *H. pylori*. At the same time, histopathological diagnosis of *H. pylori* have been evaluated. Taking histopathological examination of biopsy specimens as gold standard we have also checked sensitivity of cytological detection of *H. pylori* in brush smear.

Materials and methods

This prospective study included 75 cases with a clinical and endoscopical diagnosis of gastritis during one year period. Endoscopic brushing smear as well as gastric biopsy was taken from 75 patients.

Endoscopic antral brushing was done with fujinan video endoscope ED-310XT using fibreoptic brush. Cells that adhere to the brush were smeared with a circular motion on glass slide over an area of 2 cm in diameter. The smears were air dried and made ready for Giemsa stain. Biopsy specimens were stained with H& E and Giemsa stain.

 Table 1: Gastritis and H. pylori in biopsy

Results

Out of 75 cases histopathologically 55 (73.3%) cases and cytologically 48 (64%) cases were positive for *H.pylori*. Both cytologically and histologically 45 (81.8%) cases were positive for *H. pylori*. H. pylori grade 1+ was found in 27 (49.1%) cases, grade 2++ in 19 (34.5%) cases and grade 3+++ in 9 (16.3%) of cases (Table 1).

Both biopsy and brush was positive in 45(60%) cases, biopsy and brush was negative in 17(22.6%) cases. Brush positive but biopsy negative in 3 (4%) cases, so false positive is 3 (4%). Only brush negative in 10 (13.3%) cases, so false negative in 10 (13.3%) cases. (Table: 3)

H. pylori grade 1+ was positive in both biopsy and brush cytology in 27 cases, grade 2++ in biopsy 19 (34.5%) cases but in cytology 13 (28.9%) cases and grade 3+++ in biopsy 9 (16.4%) cases but in cytology 5 (11.1%) cases. So cytologically, H. pylori was more easily detectable when density was low.

Dr. Jasmin Akhter, Department of Pathology, Kathmandu Medical College, Sinamangal, Kathmandu Email: jasminakhter2003@yahoo.com

Correspondence

H. pylori density	No of cases	
	Biopsy (%)	Cytology (%)
Grade 1+	27 (49.10%)	27 (56.2%)
Grade 2++	19 (34.50%)	14 (29.1%)
Grade 3+++	9 (16.30%)	7 (14.5%)
Grade 4++++	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)



Fig 1: Brush smear showing Helicobacter pylori (X 1000 Giemsa stain).



Fig 2: Showing Helicobacter pylori in brush smear (X 1000 Giemsa stain).



Fig 3: *H Pylori* in Giemsa stain (X 1000 Brush Smear)



Fig 4: Showing Helicobacter pylori in biopsy (X 1000Giemsa stain)

Table 2: Comparis	on of endosc	copic brush	cytology wit	h biopsy
Tuble 2. Company	on or endose	copie orusii	cytology with	n olopsy

	Biopsy positive (%)	Biopsy negative (%)	Total (%)
Brushing positive	45 (60%)	3 (4%)	48 (64%)
Brushing negative	10 (13.3%)	17 (22.6%)	27 (36%)
Total	55 (73.3%)	20 (26.6%)	75 (100%)

 Table 3: Cytologically H. pylori was positive in 48 (64%) cases

Cytogical finding	Frequency	Percentage
H. pylori(+)ve	48	64%
H. pylori(-)ve	27	36%



Table 4: Correlation of grading in biopsy and brush cytology in H. pylori positive cases

H. pylori density	Biopsy	Cytology
Grade 1+	27 (49.1%)	27 (60%)
Grade 2++	19 (34.5%)	13 (28.9%)
Grade 3+++	9 (16.4%)	5 (11.1%)
Total	55 (100%)	45 (100%)

Discussion

Gastritis is one of the common condition and *H. pylori* infected gastritis is the commonest condition in Nepal⁶. Correlative studies of 75 cases were done between cytological and histological findings of *H. pylori* infection.

In Nepal there are no data available on different endoscopic findings causing gastritis. Like wise no study has been carried out on association of H. pylori with gastritis and ability of different tests to diagnose H. pylori. Our study is centered on cytological diagnosis of H. pylori. At the same time, histopathological diagnosis of H. pylori have been evaluated. We also checked sensitivity of cytological diagnosis of H. pylori. Out of 75 cases, histologically H. pylori seen in 55 cases (73.3%), cytologically H. pylori was found in 48 cases (64%). World wide incidence has shown that H. pylori infected gastritis is the most common condition $(70\% \text{ to } 80\%)^7$. 3 (5.4%) cases were found cytologically H. pylori positive but histologically H. pylori negative. Presence of artifact in cytological smear might have been taken as *H.pylori* due to which the cytological smear were positive for H.pylori. Cytologically 10 (28.2%) cases were H. pylori negative but histologically positive for H. pylori. 2 cases which

were normal in endoscopy, but histology and cytology showed gastritis and were positive for *H. pylori*. In a study of Narvaez et al found *H. pylori* was identified on the cytologic smears but not on the biopsy specimens ⁸.

In this study, *H.pylori* was positive both in antral biopsy and brush cytology in 45 (60%) cases. Patwari et al found that detection of *H. pylori* was highest from antral biopsies and brushings⁹. Prof Sharma et al also found that brush cytology had the maximum chance of detecting *H. pylori*¹⁰. Another study by Huang Ms et al found 59 of 103 patients (57%) were diagnosed as positive for H. pylori organisms using brushing by cytology ¹¹. The overall frequency of *H. pylori* positive cultures from the brush collected material was higher compared with cultures from biopsy samples.

In this study, *H. pylori* grade I (+) was seen in 27 (49.1%) cases of which almost all the cases positive by cytology in 27(56.2%) cases. In one study, Dalla Liberar et al. ¹² found that brush cytology was more sensitive than histology, for the assessment of *H. pylori* infection particularly when the density of bacteria is low. Current study also revealed similar result, showing grade I (+) *H. pylori* was positive in biopsy comprising 27 (49.1%) of which all of 27

(60%) cases were *H. pylori* positive in brush cytology.

In this study taking histopathology as confirmatory gold standard, sensitivity and specificity of brushing smear cytology was 81.8% and 85% respectively. Different studies by different authors worldwide have shown that brush cytology is more sensitive than histology particularly when the density of the bacteria is low ¹³. In support of this statement this study has shown the same result.

Conclusion:

As far as different tests to diagnose *H. pylori* are concerned cytological test being positive in highest number of cases, more economical and faster and feasible¹⁴. Gastric brushing cytology provides on accurate, inexpensive and easy technique in the rapid detection of *H. pylori* infection.

References

- 1. James M.C.The gastrointestinal tract infection:Cotran R.S,Kumar V & Robbins
- 2. Warren JR, Marshal BJ. Unidentified curved bacilli on gastric epithelium in active chronic gastritis. Lancet 1983, 1, 1272-1275.
- 3. Goodwin CS, Armstrong JA, Mansha BJ, Campylobacter pylori in gastritis and peptic ulceration. J Chin Pathol 1986; 39: 353-365.
- 4. Hessey SJ, Spenear J Wyatt JI. Bacterial adhesion and disease activity in Helicobacter associated chronic gastritis. Gut.1990; 31: 134-138.
- Stolte M, Edit S, Ohnsmann A. Differences in Helicobacter pylori-associated gastritis in the antrum and body of the stomach.Z Gastroentrol. 1990;28:229-233.

- Shrestha HG,Dali S,Sayami G,Osti B,Amatya VJ & Basnet RB.Present cancer scenario and its changing pattern at TU Teaching hospital,Nepal.JNMA,Souvenior197.35:45-51.
- 7. 7.Yousfi MM,EI-Zimaity MT,Genta RM et al.Evaluation of a new reagent strip rapid urease test for detection of Helicobacter pylori infection.Gastrointestt Endosc 1996;44:523.8.
- Narvaez Rodriguez I;Saez de Santamaria J;Alcalde Rubio MM;Pascasio Acevedo JM;Pabon Jaen M;Campos de Orellana AM;Soria Monge A.Acta Cytologica 1995 Sep-Oct;39(5);916-9.
- Patwari AK;Anand VK;Malhotra V;Balani B;Gangil A;Jain A and Kapoor G. Brush Cytology:An Adjunct to Diagnostic Upper GI Endoscopy.Indian Journal of Peadiatrics 2001 July;68(6):515-18
- Prof. Sharma, Suresh Saksena, Sreevastav A, Kumar V, Mahesh A. Indian J, Gastroentrol 2000;19:61-66
- Huang MS;Wang WM;Wu DC;Chen LT;Jan CM;Chen CY;Lee SC. Acta Cytologica 1996 Jul-Aug;40(4);714-8.
- 12. Dalla Libera M,Pazzi P,Carli G,Contato E,Piva I,Scagliarini R,Merighi A,Ricci, N,Gullini S.Servizio di Gastroenterologia digestiva,Ospedale S.Anna,Ferrara,Italy.
- 13. Gupta SK, Prof and Head of Cytology Department,Postgraduate,Institute of Medical Education and Research,Chandigarh-160012,India.
- 14. Brush cytology:a reliable method to detect Helicobacter pylori.J Clin Gastroenterol 1996 Jun;22(4):317-21.