Left ventricular hypertrophy in hypertension: Correlation between electrocardiography and echocardiography

Prakash O¹, Karki P², Sharma SK²

¹Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medical Sciences, Bharatpur, Nepal, ²Department of Internal Medicine, B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal

Abstract

Background: Hypertension is an important modifiable cardiovascular risk factor. Left ventricular hypertrophy – the marker of hypertension, has emerged as an independent risk factor that can be detected by electrocardiography (ECG) and echocardiography (ECHO).

Objective: Correlation of electrocardiography and echocardiographically detected left ventricular hypertrophy in hypertensive patients.

Materials and methods: Hundred patients with hypertension were studied for left ventricular hypertrophy by the help of electrocardiography and echocardiography. Left ventricular hypertrophy on ECG was assessed by the help of Sokolow-Lyon Voltage Criteria (SLV) and Romhilt – Estes Point Score (R/E).

Results: Among 100 patients, 60 were males and 40 were females. Mean age for male was 54.82 ± 12.10 years and 52.95 ± 11.63 years for female.

The mean systolic blood pressure for male was 150.47 ± 20 mmHg and for female 148.60 ± 16.95 mmHg; where as Diastolic blood pressure for male was 93.67 ± 11.13 mmHg and for female it was 96.05 ± 12.47 mmHg.

Echocardiography detected left ventricular hypertrophy in 64% patients. Electrocardiography detected Left Ventricular Hypertrophy by R/E and SLV criteria 13% and 34% respectively.

Conclusion: In developing and under developed country ECG is a useful tool for detection of LVH where the facilities of echocardiography and trained echocardiographer are still not in a common man's reach.

Key words: Left ventricular hypertrophy, Hypertension

Hypertension is one of the important public health problems. Hypertension is defined as the presence of a blood pressure elevated to a level that places patients at increased risk for target organ damage in several vascular beds. Clinically hypertension is characterised by a blood pressure more than 140/90mmHg¹.

It is estimated that the life time probability of development of hypertension is 90% for individuals aged 55-65 years of age in western countries¹.

It is common, asymptomatic, readily detectable, usually easily treatable, and often leads to lethal complication if left untreated².

Framingham heart study and as well as various other studies have shown that left ventricular hypertrophy which can be detected by electrocardiography and echocardiography has emerged as an independent risk factor, for the cardiovascular morbidity and mortality^{3,4,5}.

In 1979, The Framingham Heart Study incorporated echocardiography into the assessment of cardiovascular risk and subsequently demonstrated the prognostic importance of increased left ventricular mass⁶.

Although left ventricular hypertrophy is an infrequent finding on the electrocardiography, it is a forerunner of coronary disease, congestive cardiac failure, stroke and even peripheral arterial disease. Despite being strongly related to hypertension left ventricular hypertrophy remains associated with excess risk for adverse cardiovascular morbidity and fatal outcomes even after adjusting for blood pressure⁷.

The most readily available diagnostic modality for left ventricularhypertrophyhasbeen the electrocardiography. Most physicians have relied on it to detect the presence of left ventricular hypertrophy in hypertensive.

Correspondence Dr. Om Prakash Department of Internal Medicine, C.M.S., Bharatpur E-mail: omprakashdr@gmail.com The present study was undertaken because of lack of data regarding similar studies in South Asian countries particularly in Nepal, where it is all the more important due to lack of resources, and expensive investigations like echocardiography is out of common man's reach.

Aims and objectives

The objective of the study was to see the correlation between electrocardiography and echocardiography for the detection of left ventricular hypertrophy in hypertension in eastern Nepal.

Electrocardiography criteria used were

- 1. Romhilt Estes Point Score⁸.
- 2. Sokolow Lyon Voltage Criteria9.

Material and methods

Hundread patients with blood pressure more than 140/90mmHg or a known hypertensive on treatment as per the JNC VII guidelines were studied¹⁰.

Patients with complete bundle branch block, evidence of myocardial infarction, Wolf Parkinson White Syndrome, Atrial fibrillation on ECG were excluded from the study. Also all patients with regional wall motion abnormality, Ventricular Aneurysm, severe Right Ventricular volume overload, Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy, Aortic Stenosis by ECHO were excluded from the study.

Linear regression was used to see the correlation between the two ECG criteria and ECHO. All calculation was done with the help of SPSS version 10.0 programs and EPI info 2000 software packages.

Procedure

The study was conducted at Department of Internal Medicine, BPKIHS on 100 hypertensive patients. Patients were enrolled from outpatient and in patients department on serial basis from 1st June 2003 to 31st may 2004.

Hundred and six hypertensive patients satisfying the above inclusion and exclusion criteria were consider for the study. Six were excluded due to poor cardiac window during the echocardiography.

Blood pressure was taken with the help of mercury sphygmomanometer based on the latest recommendation by the Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high Blood Pressure¹⁰.

Obesity was defined according to the body mass index, which was calculated by dividing body weight (kg) by height $(m^2)^{11}$.

Smoking was defined in case a subject was smoking at least 5 or more bidi, cigarette, hukka, for 5 years or more. Similarly patient was considered consuming alcohol in case his regular drink (local alcohol/Bear/ Wine) comprised more than 100 ml per day for more than five years¹².

After informed consent relevant brief history and cardiovascular examination was done. The height (Cm) and weight (Kg) were measured and Body Surface Area was calculated using the formula¹³.

BSA (m²) = [Height (Cm)]^{0.718} x [Weight (Kg)]^{0.427} x 0.007449

Twelve lead electrocardiography was done with Nihon Kohden electrocardiographic machine, cardio fax, ECG 882 OK. Twelve leads electrocardiography was recorded at the paper speed of 25mm/second and calibration of 10mm was taken as a standard electrocardiogram¹⁴.

Electrocardiographic criteria used to diagnose left ventricular hypertrophy were:

1. Romhilt – Estes Point Score⁸

Methods		Score
1.Increased QRS Amplitude		
following)		
R or S in limb lead	\geq 20mm $_{ m C}$	
S in V_1 or V_2 ,	\geq 30mm \geq	3
R in V_5 or V_6	$\geq 30 \mathrm{mm}$ $^{\prime}$	
2. Any ST Shift		3
(Opposite to QRS directio	n)	3
3. Left Axis Deviation \geq - 30	2	
4. QRS interval > .09 Sec	1	
5. Intrinsicoid deflection in V		
Sec.		
(Time measured from the be	1	
QRS		
Complex to the apex of the	R Wave)	
6. P-terminal force in $V1 \ge .$	3	
(A-P wave of left atrial enla	argement	3
$LVH \ge 5.0$		13

2. Sokolow and Lyon Voltage Criteria⁹.

- 1. R wave in V_5 or $V_6 > 26$ mm And / or
- 2. Sum of S wave in lead $V_1 + R$ wave in V_5 or $V_6 > 35$ mm

Following investigations; the two electrocardiographic criteria was used to diagnose Left ventricular hypertrophy and was compared with echocardiographic criteria. Later on these patients were studied using standard M-mode echocardiography (Hewlett Packard Sonos1800). Person performing ECHO was blinded to electrocardiographic findings. Patients were studied supine or in left lateral decubitus position with transducer placement in the 3rd to 5th intercostal space.

Measurement obtained by way of standards recommended by the American Society of Echocardiography¹⁵.

Left Ventricular Posterior Wall Thickness in diastole (LVPWTd)

Represent the distance between the leading edge of the posterior left ventricular endocardium and the leading edge of the epicardium at the R wave of the electrocardiogram.

Inter Ventricular Septal Thickness in diastole (IVSTd)

Wall thickness is the distance between the leading edge of the left septal echo and the trailing edge of the right septal echo at the R wave of the electrocardiogram.

Left Ventricular Internal Dimension in the diastole (LVIDd)

Measure the trailing edge of the left side of the septum to the leading edge of the posterior endocardium at the R wave of the electrocardiogram.

Left Ventricular Mass (LVM) was calculated using Devereux formula¹⁶.

LVM=1.04 (LVIDd + IVSTd + LVPWTd)³ – (LVIDd)³ – 13.6gms.

Because left ventricular mass varies directly with the body surface Area, it was commonly indexed for this measure of body size. This was left ventricular mass index (LVMI) expressed in gram/ meter square. LVMI (gram/m²) = LVM/BSA

The upper limit of normal left ventricular mass index for male was defined as 116.07gm/m² and for female as 104.36gm/m² which is taken as a standard in this study¹⁷.

Results

Out of hundred patients studied the mean age of hypertensive subjects was 54.07 years. The mean age of males and females was 54.82 years and 52.95 years respectively. The mean body mass index was 25.02 kg/m² which were more in females 27.19 kg/m² then males 23.57 kg/m². The mean systolic blood pressure was 149.72 mmHg. The mean systolic blood pressure of males was 150.47 mmHg and of females was 148.60 mmHg. The mean diastolic blood pressure was 94.62 mmHg; which was more in females 96.05 mmHg then males 93.67 mmHg. The characteristics of the hundred patients are shown in Table 1.

Echocardiography detected various measurements are shown in the Table 2.

Echocardiographically detected mean of left ventricular mass was 220.80 gm which was more in males 231.10 gm then that in females 205.35 gm. The mean of left ventricular mass index was 133.70 g/m² which were more in the males 136.70 g/m² then females 129.21 g/m².

Sensitivity and specificity of electrocardiographic criteria are shown in the Table 3.

Echocardiography detected left ventricular hypertrophy in 64% of patients in our study.

The sensitivity and specificity of Romhilt-Estes Point Scoring System was 14% and 88% respectively, keeping the echocardiographic diagnostic criteria as gold standard and for Sokolow-Lyon Voltage Criteria it was 28% and 55% respectively.

The sensitivity and specificity of electrocardiographic criteria were further influenced by other determinants of left ventricular hypertrophy as shown in Table 4 and Table 5.

Classification of Blood Pressure Level

Patients with hypertension were classified into group I and group II; and compared for the detection of the Left Ventricular Hypertrophy by the electrocardiography criteria and the echocardiographically as shown in the Table 6.

Out of 100 patients 57 had stage I hypertension and 43 were in stage II hypertension. In stage I, 22 were detected to have LVH by SLV criteria where as 13 were detected by the R/E criteria.

37 patients had LVH by echocardiography. In stage II hypertension, 12 were detected having LVH by SLV criteria where as R/E criteria could not detect any one. 27 patients had LVH by echocardiography.

Electrocardiographic Correlations

Correlation between the two Electrocardiographic criteria and left Ventricular Mass/Left Ventricular Mass index was done using Karl Pearson Co-efficient of Correlation as shown in the Table 7.

This correlation was statistically not significant.

Linear Regression Analysis was performed comparing M-mode echocardiographically predicted Mass with electrocardiographic criteria used for diagnosis of left ventricular hypertrophy. It was noted that Sokolow-Lyon Voltage Criteria did not correlate with echocardiographic Left Ventricular mass (r=-0.025; P=0.806).

It was also observed that Romhilt - Estes Point Scoring System also did not correlate with the echocardiographic Left Ventricular Mass (r= 0.072; P= 0.476)

CHARACTERS	Total (n=100)	Male (n=60)	Female (n=40)
Age (yr)	54.07±11.89	54.82±12.10	52.95±11.63
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.02±5.31	23.57±5.17.00	27.19±04.80
SBP (mmHg)	149.72±18.78	150.47±20.00	148.60±16.95
DBP (mmHg)	94.62±11.68	93.67±11.13	96.05±12.47

Table 1: The Characteristics of the Patients in the Study Population

VARIABLE	Total (n=100)	Male (n=60)	Female (n=40)
IVSD	1.14 <u>+</u> 0.35	1.18 <u>+</u> 0.37	1.08 <u>+</u> 0.29
LVIDD	4.53 <u>+</u> 0.86	4.50 <u>+</u> 0.80	4.55 <u>+</u> 0.94
LVPWTD	1.11 <u>+</u> 0.29	1.14+0.30	1.05 <u>+</u> 0.25
LVM (g)	220.80 <u>+</u> 90.42	231.10 <u>+</u> 100.32	205.35 <u>+</u> 71.57
LVMI (g/m ²)	133.70 <u>+</u> 54.78	136.70 <u>+</u> 60.46	129.21 <u>+</u> 45.31

Table 2: Echocardiography Findings According to Gender

Table 3: Sensitivity and specificity of electrocardiographic criter	Table 3:	ectrocardiographic criteria	vity and specificity
---	----------	-----------------------------	----------------------

TEST	Sensitivity %	Specificity %	P value	Odds ratio	95% CI	PPV %	NPV %
ROMHILT	14	88	0.673	1.31	0.33-5.55	69	36
SOKOLOW	28	55	0.109	0.50	0.19-1.28	52	30

Table 4: Sokolow-Lyon Voltage Criteria

DETERMINANTS	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	P value	Odds ratio	95% CI	PPV (%)	NPV (%)
Male	34	45	0.123	0.43	0.47-1.44	52	28
Female	19	71	0.499	0.60	0.10-3.44	55	32
Smoker	22	54	0.189	0.34	0.05-2.25	44	30
Non-smoker	30	56	0.253	0.56	0.18-1.72	56	30
Alcoholic	42	50	0.708	0.75	0.13-4.34	64	29
Non-alcoholic	20	57	0.057	0.36	0.11-1.19	45	30

Table 5: Romhilt-Estes Point Scoring System

DETERMINANTS	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	P value	Odds ratio	95% CI	PPV (%)	NPV (%)
Male	13	81	0.599	0.68	0.13 -3.54	55	35
Female	15	100	0.121	0.61	0.47 -0.79	100	38
Smoker	11	81	0.592	0.56	0.04 -7.02	50	36
Non-smoker	15	92	0.382	2.06	0.34 -15.81	77	37
Alcoholic	28	80	0.610	1.60	0.20 -14.83	75	34
Non-alcoholic	6	92	0.911	0.90	0.11 -8.41	60	37

 Table 6:
 Classification of Blood Pressure Level

Stage	No. of Patients	SLV-criteria	R & E-criteria	LVMI(gm/m ²)
Ι	57	22	13	37
II	43	12	0	27

		LVM (gm)	LVMI (gm/m ²)
Pearson	R&E	0.072	0.135
Correlation (r)	SLV	-0.025	0.076
Significance (n)	R&E	0.476	0.182
Significance (p)	SLV	0.806	0.454

 Table 7: Correlation between electrocardiography and echocardiography

Discussion

The Romhilt- Estes Point Scoring System, first devised from an analysis of the electrocardiographic changes noted in left ventricular hypertrophy, was originally reported to be 60% sensitive and 95% specific in diagnosing left ventricular hypertrophy⁸.

In our study the sensitivity was only 14% but specificity was 88%. The specificity was almost similar but the sensitivity was much lower in our study. This was probably because the determinants of left ventricular hypertrophy like age, sex, and blood pressure level, duration of hypertension and use of antihypertensive drugs were not taken into consideration. Although other Point Scoring Systems have been proposed, they have no advantage over the Romhilt- Estes Point Score in diagnosing left Ventricular Hypertrophy.

Our study data confirms that Romhilt - Estes Point Score System is specific but not sensitive

Sokolow-Lyon in their original study claimed that the sensitivity of their Voltage Criteria was 32% and specificity 100%⁹.

Our study showed an almost similar sensitivity (28%) but specificity (55%) was much lower in our study. It may be due to the body mass index which is less in Asian countries compared to Western countries.

Our study data on Sokolow-Lyon Voltage Criteria supports the widespread impression that it is more sensitive and less specific than Romhilt -Estes Point Score System

Echocardiographically detected left ventricular hypertrophy has been found to be 93% sensitivity and 95% specificity in previous studies by using Devereux formula¹⁸.

In our study the sensitivity for detection of left ventricular hypertrophy by echocardiography was 64%.

In this study, it was showed that sensitivity, specificity and accuracy obtained using echocardiographic criteria for diagnosis of left ventricular hypertrophy when compared with anatomic left ventricular mass; clearly show that this method is highly reliable. Nixon has also confirmed the reliability of this method angiographically¹⁹.

Okin PM found that electrocardiographic criteria for left ventricular hypertrophy has lower sensitivity in women when compared to men even when the gender differences like left ventricular mass, height and weight were taken into account²⁰.

In our study also a similar trend was observed with Sokolow–Lyon voltage criteria but not with the Romhilt-Estes Point Scoring system. However, it was statistically not significant.

Schillaci G showed that electrocardiography had lower sensitivity for diagnosing left ventricular hypertrophy in hypertensive smokers when compared to hypertensive non smokers²¹.

Our study showed that the sensitivity for detection of left ventricular hypertrophy by electrocardiography was 11% in smokers and 15% in non-smokers by Romhilt – Estes point scoring system, which was similar to above study. Using the Sokolow Lyon voltage criteria the corresponding values were 22% in smokers and 30% in non-smokers.

Lauer MS showed that Body mass index more than 30 kg/m² has been found to be associated with increased prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy by echocardiography²².

In our study the sensitivity for the detection of left ventricular hypertrophy in over weight (BMI 26-30 mg/m²) was 36% by Sokolow-Lyon Voltage criteria and 7% by Romhilt – Estes point scoring system, the corresponding figure in obese more than 30 kg/m² patient were 23% by Sokolow-Lyon Voltage criteria and 0.07% by Romhilt – Estes point scoring system. Obesity attenuates precordial voltage and reduces sensitivity of electrocardiography.

Melina D showed that LVM was significantly higher in alcoholic hypertensive in relation to non-alcoholic hypertensive²³. In our study left ventricular mass index in alcoholic was higher than in non-alcoholic. It was statistically not significant.

In our study no significant correlation between echocardiography and electrocardiography was found for detection of left ventricular hypertrophy.

Conclusion

Echocardiography is a definitely better instrument for the detection of left ventricular hypertrophy.

This study also confirms the fact that electrocardiography, because of its low sensitivity is a poor screening tool. But because of its high specificity in addition, various determinants of left ventricular hypertrophy may also independently influence the detection of left ventricular hypertrophy by electrocardiography.

References

- Morrison Aubrey, Vijayan Anitha. Hypertension. In: Cooper DH., Krainik AJ, Reno HEL. The Washington manual of medical therapeutics. 31st Edition. Philadelphia USA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2004.p. 72-73.
- Fisher Naomi DL, Williams Gordon H. Hypertensive vascular disease. In: Braunwald E, Fauci A, Kasper DL. Harrison's principles of Internal medicine.16th Edition. USA: Mc Graw-Hill; 2005. p. 1463.
- Kannel WB, Gorden T, Castelli WP, Margolis JR. Electrographically Left ventricular hypertrophy and risk of coronary heart disease. The Framingham Heart study. Ann. Intern. Med. 1970; 72: 813 –22.
- 4. Kannel WB, Garden T, Offatt D. Left ventricular hypertrophy by Electrocardiography: prevalence, incidence and mortality in the Framingham Heart Study. Ann. Intern. Med. 1969; 71:89-105.
- Vason RS, Levy D. The role of Hypertension in the Pathogenesis of heart failure a clinical mechanistic overview. Arch. Intern. Med. 1996 56:1789-96.
- Levy D, Garrison RJ, Savage DD, Kannel WB, Castelli WP. Prognostic implication of echocardiographically determined Left Ventricular Mass in the Framingham Heart Study. New Eng. J. of Med. 1990; 322:1561-6.
- Levy D. Left ventricular hypertrophy. Epidemiological, insights from the Framingham Heart study. Drugs 1988; 35 Suppl S: 1 – 5.
- Romhilt D, Estes EH Jr. A point score systems for the Electrocardiographic diagnosis of Left ventricular hypertrophy. Am. Heart. J. 1968; 75:752.

- Sokolow M, Lyon TP. The ventricular complex in Left ventricular hypertrophy as obtained by unipolar pericardial and limb leads. Am. Heart Journal .1949; 37:161.
- Chobanian AV et al. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, detection evaluation and treatment of high Blood Pressure. Hypertension. 2003; 42:1206-52.
- Flier J.S., Flier E.M. Obesity. In: Braunwald E, Fauci, Kasper. Harrison's principles of Internal medicine. 16th Edition. USA: Mc Graw-Hill; 2005. p. 422.
- V.D. Maheswari, A Pillai. Influence of Smoking and Hypertension on Left Ventricular Mass. *JAPI*. 2000; 48:397-399.
- Kollef Marin H. Critical care parameters and formulas. In: Cooper DH, Krainik AJ, Reno HEL. Washington Manual of Medical Therapeutics. 29th Edition Philadelphia USA; Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 1998. p. 562
- Wagner GS. Recording the Electrocardiogram. In: Weinberg RW, Reter RE, Geffner J. Marriott's Practical Electrocardiogram. 10th ed. Philadelphia, USA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins. 2001.p31.
- Feiganbaum H, Armstrong W F, Ryan T. Feiganbaum's Echocardiography Philadelphia USA; Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, 1994; 658-660.
- Devereux R, Reichek N. Echocardiographic determination of Left Ventricular Mass in man: Anatomic validation of the method. *Circulation*. 1977; 55: 613 – 8.
- Gupta OP, Trivedi SK, Jain AP. Left Ventricular Muscle Mass by Echocardiography: Methodology. Journal of Association of Physicians of India. 1992; 40(3):179–80.
- Reichek N, Devereux RB. Left ventricular hypertrophy Relationship of Anatomic, Echocardiographic and Electrocardiographic Findings. *Circulation.* 1981; 63:1391-8.
- Nixon JV, Anderson RJ, Cohen ML. Alteration of Left Ventricular Mass and performance in patients treated effectively for thyrotoxicosis. Am. J. Med. 1979; 67:268.
- Okin PM, Roman MJ, Devereux RB, Kligfield P. Gender differences and Electrocardiogram in Left Ventricular Hypertrophy. Hypertension. 1995; 25(2):242-9.
- Schillaci G, Verdecchia P, Sacchi N, Vignai E, Benemio G, Porcellati C. Influence of cigarette smoking on the Electrocardiographic diagnosis of Left Ventricular Hypertrophy in arterial hypertension. G. Ital. Cardiol. 1999; 2900:34-8.

- 22. Lauer MS, Anderson KM, Kannel WB, Levy D. The impact of obesity on left ventricular mass and geometry. The Framingham Heart Study. JAMA. 1991; 266(2):231-6.
- Melina D, Colivicchi F, Melina G, Pristipino C. Left ventricular hypertrophy and diastolic dysfunction in alcohol associated hypertension. Minerva Cardioangiol. 1993; 41(7-8):293-6.