Reduced Vision and Refractive Errors, Results from a School Vision Screening Program in Kanchanpur district of Far Western Nepal

Awasthi S¹, Pant BP², Dhakal HP³

^{1,2}Geta Eye Hospital, Nepal

³Department of Pathology, The Norwegian Radium Hospital, Oslo, Norway

Corresponding Author

Suresh Awasthi, B.Optom (TU), MPhil student (HiBu)

Department of Optometry and visual sciences, Buskerud University College

Frogsvei 41, 3611 Kongsberg, Norway

Email. suresh.buc@gmail.com

Kathmandu Univ Med J 2010;9(32):370-4

ABSTRACT

Background

At present there is no data available on reduced vision and refractive errors in school children of far western Nepal. So, school screening records were used to obtain data useful for planning of refractive services.

Methods

Data are provided from school screening conducted by Geta Eye Hospital during February/March 2008. The cases with complete data sets on visual acuity, refractive error and age were included and analyzed using computer software.

Results

Of 1165 children (mean age 11.6 \pm 2.5 years) examined, 98.8% (n= 1151) had uncorrected visual acuity of 6/9 and better in at least one eye whereas 1.2% (n= 14) had acuity 6/12 and worse in both eyes. Among them, either eye of 9 children improved to 6/9 and better with correction. However, visual acuity was 6/12 and worse in both eyes of 5 children even after correction. There were 24 children with refractive errors (myopia, 1.54%; n= 18 and hypermetropia, 0.51%; n=6) in at least one eye. The spherical equivalent refraction was not significantly different with age and gender.

Conclusions

The incidence of reduced vision and refractive errors among school children of this semi rural district were low.

Key Words

reduced vision, refractive error, school children, school screening

INTRODUCTION

SchoolvisionscreeningisconductedinNepalbyNepal NetraJyotiSanghthroughvariousEyeHospitalstoidentify childrenwithvisionproblemsandofferthemthecorrective measures.^{9,10}Aftereyeexaminationthesechildrenwere provided corrective glasses at no cost. Generally children are not aware about their vision problems, especially if the problem exists since early childhood or the vision isreduced in one eye only. Even when they are aware, they mightnotreport. This way vision problem among children cangoundetected.Thusscreeningprogramsarehelpful in identifying children with reduced vision. Reduced visionduetorefractiveerrorisaseriousproblemamong schoolagechildrenandifnotcorrectedintimecanlimit theirclassroomperformanceandeconomicprospectin laterlife.¹²Thelargestproportionofreducedvisiondueto refractiveerrorsworldwidehasbeenreportedfromurban areasinsouth-eastAsiaandChina.¹²However,allcountries insouthAsiaandChinadonotsharesimilarprevalenceof reduced vision and refractive errors.^{3,5,11,12,12,18} In a series ofstudiescalledRefractiveerrorstudyinchildren(RESC) largeproportion of children with reduced vision (6/18 or worse)wereduetorefractiveerrorswerereportedfrom China (94.9%)⁵ followed by India (61%)³ and Nepal (56%).11

Studiesreported myopia prevalence of 1.2% in Nepal,¹¹ 4.1% in India³ and 14.9% in China.¹⁸ Within Nepal, studies amongschool children from Kathmandure ported higher prevalence of refractive errors, 8.1% (myopia= 4.3%; astigmatism=2.5% and hypermetropia=1.3%),⁸ 18.6%¹⁴ and 21.8%¹⁶ compared to those from outside Kathmandu. ^{4,11} Weassumed low prevalence of refractive errors among school children in Kanchan pur. The low prevalence was assumed in view of the rural location of Kanchan pur district.

The aim of this study was to elucidate the incidence of reducedvisionand refractive errors amongs chool children from Kanchanpurdistrict of FarWestern Nepalac cording to their types and relationship with age and gender.

METHODS

This is a retrospective descriptive study on reduced vision and refractive errors among school children from Kanchanpurdistrict of Farwestern Nepal. The data were collected by a mobile team of Geta Eye Hospital within school premises during its regular school visions creening.

Of 4000 school children screened during February/march 2008, only 1165 had complete set of data on visual acuity, refraction, age and gender were thus included in this study. Other records that lacked information on visual acuity,

refraction and age were not included in the study. The children enrolled in grade 1 to 10 from 3 schools were included in the study.

Thepublicschoolsaccessiblebyroadswereselectedfor thescreening. The screening program was conducted in collaborationwitheducationandpublichealthofficesinthe district.Screeningteamconsistedofanoptometristandan assistant.Thetestsincludedmeasurementofvisualacuity, anteriorsegmentevaluation, noncycloplegic refraction and direct ophthal moscopy. An assistant assessed visual acuity with a Snellens' vision chart at 6 meter distance usingdaylightfrom10amto4pm.Acuitywastestedfor eacheyeseparately. An optometrist performed all other tests. Anteriors egment was assessed by a focus able torch. Retinoscopy was performed among all children at 50 centimeters with children's eyes fix at edon vision chart at 6meters.Aretinoscopicreflexwasquicklyswipedacross pupillaryareawithastreakretinosocpe(Heine,Germany). Subjectiverefractionwithtrialframewasperformedonly ifretinoscopysuggested refractive errors, and/orvisual acuitywaslessthan6/6.Ophthalmoscopywasperformed incases suspected of posterior segment abnormalities. Glasseswereprescribedandprovidedbyanoptometrist to those who needed. Children with prescription of 0.5 Dioptersphericalequivalentrefractionandmoreineither eyewereprescribed glasses. Antibiotice yedrops and/or ointmentswereprovidedwheninfectionswereobserved, like conjunctivitis. Children with all other pathological conditions were referred to Geta Eye Hospital for further evaluation.Registrationofallchildrenwasdonewith the helpofclassteachersandstudentvolunteers.Institutional permission was obtained for the use of the data for the study.

The data were analyzed using SPSS (17.0) computer software. Data were presented in the form of tables. The eyes with uncorrected visual acuity 6/12 and worse were categorized as having reduced vision. Myopia and hypermetropia were diagnosed for eyes with prescription of 0.5 D spherical equivalent and more. The Spherical equivalent refraction (SER) was calculated by dividing the cylindrical prescription by 2 and adding itto the spherical prescription. Any eye with ±0.75 cylinder Diopter and more was considered to have astigmatism. A child was myopic if one or both eyes had myopia; hyperopic, if one or both eyes had myopia; hyperopic, if one or both eyes had myopia; hyperopic, or both eyes had emmetropia. Similarly, achild with astigmatism in one or both eyes was considered to have astigmatism.

RESULTS

Among 1165 records analyzed, there were 43.9% boys

and 56.1% girls. The participant included ranged from 5 to 19 years of a gewith lowest number of participants were in 5 and 19 (n=1) year age group and highest number in 12 (n=170) year age group. The largest proportion of children, 13.2% (n=154) were in grade 7 and smallest proportion 0.77% (n=9) in grade 10. In other grades fairly evend is tribution of children ranging from 63 to 154 were found. The largest caste/ethnic group was Chhetri (27.1%), followed by Dalit (25%), Brahmin (23.2%) and Tharu (12.5%).

VISUAL ACUITY

Altogether 2330 eyes of 1165 children (meanage 11.6 \pm 2.5 years) were evaluated. Uncorrected visual acuity was 6/9 and better in at least one eye of 98.8% (n=1151) children (table 1). The uncorrected visual acuity in right eyes ranged from 6/6 to 3/60 and in left eyes it ranged from 6/6 to 3/60 and in left eyes it ranged from 6/6 to 5/60. With correction, 99.57% (n=1160) children obtained visual acuity of 6/9 and better in at least one eye and none of the children had worse than 6/60 in both eyes. Uncorrected visual acuity 6/12 and worse were present in both eyes of 1.2% (n= 14) and one eye of 8 children. Altogether 22 children aged 10 to 16 years had uncorrected visual acuity 6/12 and worse (reduced vision) in at least one eye. Among them, visual acuity improved to 6/9

or better in at least one eye of 64.28% (n=9) children. However, visual acuity did not improve to 6/9 or better in both eyes of 0.42% (n= 5) children. A significant correlation between uncorrected visual acuity in right and left eyes (r=0.68; p<0.0001) was observed.

Table 1. Distribution of uncorrected and correctedvisual acuity

	Visual acuity	Uncorrected visual acuity, No. (%)	Corrected visual acuity, No. (%)
Both eyes	≥6/9	1143 (98.1)	1153 (98.96)
One eye	≥ 6/9	8 (0.68)	7 (0.6)
Better eye	≥ 6/12 to ≤ 6/18	6 (0.51)	4 (0.34)
	≥ 6/24 to ≤ 6/60	7 (0.6)	1 (0.08)
	< 6/60	1 (0.08)	0 (0.00)
Total		1165 (100)	1165 (100)

REFRACTIVE ERRORS

Refractive errors were present in at least one eye of 2.06% (n=24) and both eyes of 1.45% (n=17) children. Myopia was present in 1.54% (n= 18) and hypermetropia in 0.51% (n=6) children (table 2). The spherical equivalent refraction (SER) for both eyes was within -4.0 to +4.5 Diopters. Of the eyes with refractive errors, 63.6% (n=28) were within ± 2.0 D. A significant correlation was found between spherical equivalent refraction in right and left eyes (r= 0.90; p< 0.0001). A stigmatism was present in either eye of 0.6% (n=7) children.

Table 2. Distribution of refractive errors

Eye	Refractive status	Children No. (%)
Both eyes	Emmetropia	1141 (97.9)
One eye	Муоріа	2 (0.17)
Both eyes	Муоріа	16 (1.37)
One eye	Hypermetropia	5 (0.43)
Both eyes	Hypermetropia	1 (0.08)
Total		1165 (100)

EFFECT OF AGE AND GENDER

Refractiveerrors were present among children between 7 to 16 years with the largest proportion, among 15 year olds. Six out of 89, 15 year old children had refractive errors (myopia= 5; hyperopia=1). Children from 7 to 15 years were only taken for the association test. The age was not associated significantly with spherical equivalent refraction in right (X², p=0.53) and left eyes (X², p=0.11). Gender was also not associated significantly with SER in right (X², p=0.41) and left eyes (X², p=0.44).

DISCUSSION

Visual acuity

Themajority of school children had normal/nearnormal uncorrected visual acuity. The proportion of uncorrected reduced vision was slightly lower than that reported in eastern Nepal (2.9%).¹¹ In eastern Nepal 138 out of 4803 children had visual acuity 6/12 and worse in either eye. Contrary to these reports, larger proportions of children with uncorrected reduced vision were reported from Kathmandu.^{8,14,16} The incidence of corrected reduced vision in this study was similar to that reported from Kathmandu^{8,14,16} and eastern Nepal.¹¹ In eastern Nepal 65 out of 4803 children had reduced vision even after correction. The reduced vision even after be due to causes other than refractive errors.

Refractive errors

Refractive errors among school children in this south western district of Nepal were low and comparable to that reported from eastern Nepal (2.6%).¹¹ In this study, majority of the eyes with refractive errors were myopic whereas majority of the eyes were hyperopic in the study from eastern Nepal.¹¹ The reason for not finding many children with hyperopia might be under detection of hyperopiain this study as full refraction was performed

only in eyes with below normal acuity. Another reason mightbethatcycloplegicrefractionwasnotperformed. The prevalence of myopia has been reported to vary between public and private school children.^{4,8,14} Lower educational pressure among publics chool children and rigorousschoolingamongprivateschoolchildrenhave beendiscussed as the reason for the observed differences inrefractiveerrorprevalencebetweenprivateandpublic schoolchildren.⁴Thefactthatonlypublicschoolchildren wereincludedinthisstudymightsuggestreasonforlower prevalence of refractive errors observed. Of the eyes with reduced vision, almost 2/3rd (64.28%) improved with refractivecorrectionwhichwassimilartothatreported in other studies in Nepal (56%)¹¹ and India (61%)³. However, the criterion used for defining reduced vision due to refractive error in this study was different than thatused in studies from eastern Nepal and Kathmandu. In thisstudy, refractive error was the cause of uncorrected reducedvisioninaneyeimprovingbyatleast2lineswith correction.InstudiesfromeasternNepalandKathmandu, eyes improving to 6/9 and better with correction were considered to have reduced vision due to refractive error. Contrarytothesestudies, larger proportions of eyes with reducedvisionduetorefractiveerrorswerereportedfrom China (94.9%). 5 This might possibly bedue to majority of theNepaleseethnicgroupsclosertotheIndianpopulation.

Effect of age and gender

Studentsof 15 year of age had high est number of children with refractive errors possibly due to excessive reading. Children at this age are normally in grade 9 or 10. This is the time when they have to work harder to pass school board exams. Thus, excessive reading during this period might have increased the incidence. However, the SER in right and left eyes were not associated significantly with age and gender. Age was reported to be significantly associated with refractive error in an other study as well.¹¹ Yet Another study reported significantly more myopia among girls than boys (P<0.01).² Our study did not have sufficient numbers to make such deductions.

CONCLUSION

The incidence of reduced vision (1.2%) and refractive errors (2.06%) among school children of this semi rural district were low. Myopia was the dominant type of refractive errors accounting for more than 2/3rd of the refractive errors. Most of the myopia was found among olderage group. As refractive correction could improve visual acuity in majority of the children, effective screening of refractive errors could help reduce the proportion of reduced vision among school children.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Geta Eye Hospital, Dhangadhi (Nepal), Mr. R.C. Bhatta, Geta Eye Hospital (Nepal)andProf.FrankSchaeffel,UniversityofTuebingen (Germany) for providing generous support at various levels during preparation of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- CentralBureauofStatistics.NepalCensus2001:Casteand ethnicity.Kathmandu:CentralBereauofstatistics;[cited 2009, July 3]. Available from: http://www.cbs.gov.np/ national_report_2001.php
- 2. Congdon N, Wang Y, Song Y, Choi K, Zhang M, Zhou Z, etal.Visualdisability, visualfunction, and myopia among rural chinese secondary school children: the Xichang PediatricRefractiveErrorStudy(X-PRES)--report1.Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2008;49:2888-94.
- 3. Dandona R, Dandona L, Srinivas M, Sahare P, Narsaiah S, Munoz S. R, et al. Refractive error in children in a rural populationinIndia.InvestOphthalmolVisSci2002;43:615-22.
- Garner LF, Owens H, Kinnear RF, Frith MJ. Prevalence of myopiainSherpaandTibetanchildreninNepal.OptomVis Sci 1999;76:282-5.
- 5. HeM,ZengJ,LiuY,XuJ,PokharelGP,EllweinLB.Refractive errorandvisualimpairmentinurbanchildreninsouthern china. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2004;45:793-9.
- Murthy GV, Gupta SK, Ellwein LB, Munoz SR, Pokharel GP, Sanga L et al. Refractive error in children in an urban population in New Delhi. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2002;43:623-31.
- 7. Naidoo KS, Raghunandan A, Mashige KP, Govender P, Holden BA, Pokharel GP et al. Refractive error and visual impairment in African children in South Africa. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2003;44:3764-70.
- 8. Nepal BP, Koirala S, Adhikary S, Sharma AK. Ocular morbidityinschoolchildreninKathmandu.BrJOphthalmol 2003;87:531-4.
- 9. NepalNetrajyotiSangh,Annualreport2009,NNJSAnnual report 2009.
- NepalNetrajyotiSangh.NationalEyeSightprogram.[cited 2010 Sep 10]. Available from: http://www.nnjs.org.np/ programs/national_eye_sight_programs.php
- 11. Pokharel GP, Negrel AD, Munoz SR, Ellwein LB. Refractive Error Study in Children: results from Mechi Zone, Nepal. Am J Ophthalmol 2000;129:436-44.

- 12. Resnikoff S, Donatella P, Mariotti SP, Pokharel GP.Global magnitude of visual impairment caused by uncorrected refractive error. Bulletin of World Health Organization. January [cited 2008 Sep 8]. Available from: http://www. who.int/bulletin/volumes/ 86/1/07-041210.pdf
- 13. Rose KA, Morgan IG, Smith W, Burlutsky G, Mitchell P, Saw SM. Myopia, lifestyle, and schooling in students of ChineseethnicityinSingaporeandSydney.ArchOphthalmol 2008;126:527-30.
- 14. SapkotaYD,AdhikariBN,PokharelGP,PoudyalBK,Ellwein LB.Theprevalenceofvisualimpairmentinschoolchildren of upper-middle socioeconomic status in Kathmandu. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 2008 15:17-23.
- 15. Saw SM, Goh, PP, Cheng A, Shankar A, Tan DTH, Ellwein LB.Ethnicityspecificprevalencesofrefractiveerrorsvaryin AsianChildreninneighboringMalaysiaandSingapore.Br.J. Ophth 2006(90):1230-5.
- 16. Shrestha RK, Joshi MR, Ghising R, Pradhan P, Shakya S, Rizyal A. Ocular morbidity among children studying in privateschoolsofKathmanduvalley:Aprospectivecross sectional study. Nepal Med Coll J 2006;8:43-6.
- 17. The Nepal Blindness Survey team. The Epidemiology of Blindness in Nepal: Report of the 1981 Blindness survey. Kathmandu. Seva Foundation.
- Zhao J, Pan X, Sui R, Munoz S. R, Sperduto R. D, & Ellwein L.B.RefractiveErrorStudyinChildren:resultsfromShunyi District, China. Am J Ophthalmol 2000;129:427-35.