Comparison of clinical presentation between Chronic Otitis Media Mucosal with Squamous.

Shrestha BL¹, Shrestha I², Amatya RC³

¹⁻³ Department of ENT-HNS,

Dhulikhel Hospital - Kathmandu University Hospital,

Dhulikhel, Nepal.

Corresponding Author

Dr Bikash Lal Shrestha

Department of ENT-HNS,

Dhulikhel Hospital - Kathmandu University Hospital,

Dhulikhel, Nepal.

Email. bikash001@hotmail.com

Kathmandu Univ Med J 2010;9(32):387-91

ABSTRACT

Background

Chronic otitis media is a chronic inflammatory disease of the middle ear cleft which is manifested as deafness and ear discharge. It is a common condition affecting 0.5 – 30% of any community worldwide, and most common in developing countries. The prevalence mainly depends on age, low socio-economic status, overcrowding and limited medical facilities.

Objective

To compare the clinical presentation between chronic otitis media mucosal with squamous types.

Methods

A prospective, longitudinal and analytical study performed among 200 patients who have features of chronic otitis media and were attended to in the ear, nose and throat outpatient department of Dhulikhel Hospital - Kathmandu University Hospital, Dhulikhel, Nepal from January 2010 to January 2011. Patients who had already undergone surgery and came back to the hospital, with inadequate information were excluded from the study.

Results

The results showed that, out of 200 cases, 120 (60%) were chronic otitis media- mucosal and 80 (40%) were chronic otitis media – squamous. Patients < 30 years were affected in both groups. The male to female ratio in chronic otitis media mucosal was 1.14:1, whereas in chronic otitis media squamous, it was 1.96:1. Similarly in etiological factors, oil and water exposure (76%) was the most common cause in chronic otitis media mucosal, whereas in chronic otitis media squamous, oil and water exposure (62.5%) and recurrent upper respiratory tract infection (62.5%) were the most common causes. Of all the clinical features, ear discharge was the leading feature in both chronic otitis media mucosal (98%) and squamous (100%) cases. The complications were mainly seen in the chronic otitis media squamous and the most common complication was mastoid abscess (5%).

Conclusions

The frequency of chronic otitis media is higher in the younger age group and those lacking in health education. As a result, it is important to disseminate the health education regarding the ear disease which will help in decreasing the frequency of the disease in developing countries like ours.

Key Words

chronic otitis media, mastoid abscess, upper respiratory tract infection

INTRODUCTION

Chronic otitis media (COM) is a chronic inflammatory disease of the middle ear cleft which is complicated as partialortotallossofthetympanicmembraneandossicles andalsocausesirreversiblesequelaewhichismanifestsas deafnessandeardischarge.^{1,2}Thisdiseaseisclassifiedinto healed COM, COM (mucosal) active and inactive, COM (squamous) active and inactive as the old terminology of chronic suppurative otitis mediatubo-tympanic and attico-antral has been abandoned.³ COM is a common condition affecting 0.5 – 30% of any community. It is most common indeveloping countries. The prevalence in our country is 3.5%.⁴The prevalence mainly depends on age, lowsocio-economic status, over crowding and limited medical facility.^{2,5}

The COM mucosalis characterised by either permanent perforation of the parstensa without inflammation of the middlee arand mastoid if it is in active. In active cases, there is chronic inflammation with in the mucosa of the middle earand mastoid, characterised by intermitten tand mainly mucoid or muco purulent discharge which is precipitated by upper respiratory tract infection and entry of water or oil instillation.⁶

The COM squamous is characterised by only the retraction pocket in the tympanic membrane if inactive types and inactive types there is cholesteatom a within the tympanic membrane.⁶ There is a continuous fouls melling discharge in cases of COM squamous, and the chance of complications is higher than that of COM mucosal.

The various complications associated with COM squamousaremastoiditis, labyrinthitis, various types of subperios teal abscess, facial nerve paralysis and petrositis. Intracranial complications include meningitis, extradural abscess, subdural abscess, brain abscess, lateral sinus throm bophlebitis and otitic hydrocephalus.⁷ However, these complications are also seen in COM mucosal but less frequently.

The main aim of our study is to compare the clinical presentation between COM mucosal with the squamous type.

METHODS

This study is a prospective, longitudinal and analytical studyperformedamong200 patients who have features of COM attended in ear, nose and throat (ENT) outpatient department of Kathmandu University Hospital, Dhulikhel, Nepalfrom January 2010 to January 2011. Patients who had already undergone surgery prior to their hospital consultation, and/or received little or no information were excluded from the study. The results we reanaly sed using a simple manual analysis of frequency and percentage.

Page 388

RESULTS

200 cases of COM were included in the study. Of the 200 cases 120 (60%) were COM mucosal and 80 (40%) were COM squamous as shown in Table 1. Tables 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 show age, sex distribution, the etiological factors, clinical features and complications are shown in.

Table 1. Distribution of types of COM. (n=200)

Types	Number (n=200)
COM-mucosal	120(60%)
CSOM-squamous	80(40%)

Table 2. Age distribution of patients in both COMmucosal and squamous.

COM mucosal (n=120)	COM squamous (n=80)
40(34%)	7(8.3%)
26(22%)	26(33.3%)
35(29%)	20(25%)
5(4%)	13(16.7%)
3(2%)	7(8.3%)
11(8%)	7(8.3%)
	COM mucosal (n=120) 40(34%) 26(22%) 35(29%) 5(4%) 3(2%) 11(8%)

Table 3. Sex distribution of patients in both COMmucosal and squamous

Sex	COM mucosal (n=120)	COM squamous (n=80)
Male	64(53.3%)	53(66.3%)
Female	56(46.7%)	27(33.7%)

Table 4. Distribution of etiological factors in bothCOM mucosal and squamous

Etiological factors	COM mucosal(n=120)	COM squamous(n=80)
Over crowding	3(2.2%)	-
Oil/water instillation	91(76%)	50(62.5%)
LPR	3(2.2%)	-
Bottle feeding	13(11%)	-
Recurrent URTI	16(13%)	50(62.5%)
Family h/o CSOM	33(27%)	10(12.5%)
No factors	5(4%)	20(25%)

Table 5. showing distribution of clinical features inCOM mucosal.

COM mucosal (n=120)
117(98%)
97(80.7%)
16(13.5%)
7(5.7%)
5(3.8%)
-

Table 6. Distribution of clinical features in COMsquamous.

Clinical features	COM squamous (n=80)
Ear discharge	80(100%)
Decrease hearing	75(93.7%)
Earache	25(31.2%)
Tinnitus	15(18.7%)
Vertigo	3(3.7%)
Diplopia	1(1.2%0
Vomiting	1(1.2%)
Headache	1(1.2%)
Fever	5(6.2%)
Cholesteatoma	70(87.5%)
Polyp	15(18.7%)
Mastoid abscess	4(5%)

Table 7. Distribution of complications in COMsquamous.

Complications	COM squamous (n=80)
Mastoid abscess	4(5%)
Petrositis	1(1.2%)
Meningitis	1(1.2%)
Labyrinthitis	1(1.2%)
Lateral sinus thrombosis	1(1.2%)

DISCUSSION

TheCOMismoreprevalentindevelopingcountries rangesfrom 0.5-30%.⁸ Mainly the affected groups are low socio-economic condition, young age group and with family history of COM.⁹

Our study showed that the COM mucosal was 120 (60%) and squamous 80 (40%). The majority of patients were of COM mucosal, which may be because in mucosal diseases there is profuse ear discharge, and as a result patients are more likely to visit the hospital more frequently. However, in squamousvariety, discharge is minimal and patients tendnottovisit the hospital until the discharge begins to smell or until there are complications.

Regarding the age group, patients of < 40years were most commonly affected with about 89% in COM mucosal and 83.3% in COM squamous which contrasts with the study performed by the UK National study of Hearing which reported individuals in the 41-80 year age group were twice as likely to have COM as those aged 18-40 years.¹⁰ However, results from this study correlate with the study performed by Islametal, Fakiretal and Siddique and Khan.¹¹⁻¹³ This contrasts with the age group of the study in the UK which could be because the reare traditional trends which are specific to Nepal. For example, pouring oil into the ear can ald uring child hood is still thought by some to work. and young people frequently swimin the river or pond water without aural protection.

As for the gender distribution, our study showed that the male sex were more commonly affected with male to female ratio of 1.14:1 in COM mucosal and 1.96:1 in COM squamous which is similar to a study performed by Siddigue and Khan, Islam et al.^{11,13} but the contrast with the study performed by the UK National Study of Hearing which showed nodifference between male and female.¹⁰ This result in our study could be because Nepal is arguably a male-dominatedsociety.Generallyspeaking,menin the family are the head of the household, and they are more aware of their health than women of the household.Asaresult, their health is prioritised over women's.Otherfactorswhichmayaffectthemaleto femaleratioinNepalisthatmenaremorelikelytogo swimminginpublicplacessuchasrivers, rather than women.Themalearemoreawarewiththeirhealth thanfemales and they also think that they must be healthy as they are the earners in the family. Other reasonmaybemalesmoreoftentakebathandswim in river.

Inetiological factors, our study showed that oil and water exposure accounts for 76% of the cases and was mostly responsible for COM mucosal., Other factors include the patient's family history of COM, bottle feeding, recurrent URTI, overcrowding and laryngo-pharyngeal reflux (LPR). Similarly, in COM squamous, the oil and water instillation, recurrent URTI and family history of COM are mainly responsible. Oil and water exposure was the main cause of COM in our study as oil instillation is a traditional - method in our country during childbirth.Thestudyalsofoundthatwaterexposure wasamajorcauseforCOMaspeopleoftenswimin the rivers in Nepal without protection. Elsewhere, patient's with a family history of COM - were also prone to suffering from it as there have been cases of cross-contamination of infections among family members.Bottlefeedingbecameafactorasbottlefed children had lower immunity as compared to children who were fed breast milk. Recurrent URTI causestransienteustachiantubedysfunctionandalso themucosaofeustachiantubecontinuouswiththat ofmiddleearmucosawhichcausestransmission of infection.¹⁴Ourstudyshowedthatovercrowdingwas alsoresponsibleforcausingCOMasitcorrelates with the low socio-economic status as seen in the study carriedbySiddiqueandKhan,andIslametal,Bennett and Haggard study.^{11,13,15} Our study showed that 2.2% had history of LPR, the causal relation could be like that of study performed by Poelmans et al.¹⁶

The most common clinical presentation of COM mucosal in our study was ear discharge (98%) and decreased hearing (80.7%). Similarly other presentationswereearache,tinnitusanditchiness. Thesefindingsweresimilartothestudyperformed byIslametal,KangetalandWeilingaetal.^{13,17,18}The slightdifferenceinourstudywasthateardischarge wasthemainpresentationinourpatients.Itmaybe becausepatientsweremoreannoyedbythedischarge in the ear rather than hearing loss.

Themostcommonpresentation of COMS quamous was eard is charge (100%), decrease hearing (93.7%) and earache (31.2%). The presentations in our study is similar to that of Islam et al and Meimaneh et al ^{13, 19} But differs from the study performed by Weilinga et al ¹⁸ which showed hearing loss (83%) to be more common than ear discharge (56%).

The cholesteatomatous findings were 87.5% in our study which is comparable to the study carried out by Islam et al¹³ which showed 76.6%, but more than that of the study conducted by Meimaneh et al¹⁹ showing frequency of 42% only. The increased cholesteatomatous finding in our study could be because of nose blowing habitinour patients which lead to change in middle ear pressure.

Therewerenocomplications in COM mucos alcases in our study where as in COM Squamous there were total 8 (9.8%) complications out of 80 cases. The most common complications was mastoid abscess (5%) which is similar to study performed by Islametal and Osma U et al ^{13,20} where as other complications were mening it is, labyr in thit is, petrosit is and lateral sin us throm bophle bit is. The frequency of complication more in COM squamous which is similar to study performed by Youngs et al.⁵ There were no deaths reported because of complications in our study.

CONCLUSION

Theresults concluded that the frequency of COM occurs more in younger patients and those lacking health education. Thus, it is important to first tackle health education regarding ear diseases which will help in decreasing the frequency of the disease firstly. Similarly, early diagnosis and intervention could prevent the dreadful complications of ear disease.

REFERENCES

- 1. Merchant SN, McKenna MJ, Rosowski JJ. Current status and future challenges of tymapnoplasty. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 1998;255;221-8.
- 2. ShenoiPM.Mnagementofchronicsuppurativeotitismedia. In:BoothJB,editor.Scott-Brown'sOtolaryngology,5thed. Vol 3. London:Butter worth, 1987.p.215-31.
- 3. Browning GG. Aetiopathology of inflammatory conditions of the external and middle ear. In: Kerr AG Scott-Brown's Otolaryngology. 6th ed. Vol 3. London: Arnold;1997.p.18-21.
- 4. Adhikari P, Sinha BK, Pokhrel NR, Kharel B, Aryal R, Ma J.Prevalenceofchronicsuppurativeotitismediainschool children of Kathmandu district. J Inst Med 2007;29:10-2.
- 5. Youngs R. Chronic suppurative otitis media-mucosal disease.LundmanH.Diseaseoftheear,6thedition,Arnold 1988;27:374-385.
- Browning GG, Merchant SN, Kelly G, Swan IR, Canter R and McKerrow WS. Chronic otis media. In: Gleeson M,editor. Scott-Brown's Otolaryngology, 7th ed. Vol 3. London:Arnold;2008.p.3396-3401.
- 7. PandaNK, Sreedharan S, Mann SB, Sharma SC. Prognostic factors in complicated and uncomplicated chronic otits media. Am J Otolaryngol 1996;17:391-6.
- 8. SadeJ.Introduction.In:SadeJ,editor.Cholesteatomaand mastoid surgery. Amsterdam:Kugler;1982.p.1-3.
- 9. Hossain MM, Kundu SC, Haque MR. Shamsuzzaman AK, KhanMK, HalderKK. Extracranial complications of chronic suppurative otitismedia. Mymensingh Med J2006;15:4-9.
- BrowningGG,GatehouseS.Theprevalenceofmiddleear diseaseintheadultBritishPopulation.ClinicalOtolaryngol 1992;17:317-21.
- 11. Siddique BH and Khan AH. Chronic suppurative otitis media- a rural area based study. SSMCJ 1995;3:12-16.

- 12. Fakir AY, Hanif A, Ahmed KU, Haroon A. Intra-cranial complications of CSOM a study of 40 cases. Bangladesh J Otolaryngol 1999; 5:11-14.
- Islam MR, Taous A, Hossain MM, Ekramuddaula AFM, Islam MS. Comparative study of tutotympanic and atticoantral variety of chronic suppurative otits media. Bangladesh J Otorhinolaryngol 2010;16;113-9.
- 14. Winther B, Hayden FG, Arruda E, Dutkowski R, Ward P, Hendley JO. Viral respiratory infection in school children: effectsonmiddleearpressure. Paediatrics 2002;109:826-32.
- 15. Bennett KE, Haggard MP. Accumulation of factors influencingchildren'smiddleeardisease:riskfactormodeling onalargepopulationcohort.JournalofEpidemiologyand Community health 1998;52:786-93.
- 16. Poelmans J, Tack J, Feenstra L. Chronic middle ear disease and gastroes op hage alreflux disease: a causal relation? Otol Neurol 2001;22:447-50.
- KangSanurilJ.Extracranialandintracranialcomplication of suppurative otitis media report of 102 cases in Thailand. J Laryngol otol 1993;107:999-1004.
- Wielinga EW, Derks AM, Cremers CW. Tympanosclerosis in tympanic membrane: influence on outcome of myringoplasty. Am J Otol 1995;16:811-4.
- 19. Meimaneh JA, Hosseinnejad AF, Arabkhani R, Hosseinnejad AS. Evaluation of cholesteatoma frequency in patients with chronicotitismedia. The Iranian Journal of Otorhinolary ngol 2010;22:21-4.
- 20. Osma U, Cureoglu S. The complications of chronic otitis media:reportof93cases.JLaryngolOtol2000;114:97-100.