

Breast Conserving Surgery: An Overview at a Tertiary Cancer Centre

Shrestha UM,¹ Shrestha D,¹ Manish Roy M,¹ Kharbuja P,¹ Pradhan N²

¹Department of Surgical Oncology,

Bhaktapur Cancer Hospital,

Bhaktapur, Nepal.

²Department of Community Medicine,

Manipal College of Medical Sciences,

Pokhara, Nepal.

Corresponding Author

Diwan Shrestha

Department of Surgical Oncology,

Bhaktapur Cancer Hospital,

Bhaktapur, Nepal.

E-mail: diwanshrestha2@gmail.com

Citation

Shrestha UM, Shrestha D, Manish Roy M, Kharbuja P, Pradhan N. Breast Conserving Surgery: An Overview at a Tertiary Cancer Centre. *Kathmandu Univ Med J*. 2025; **Online First**.

ABSTRACT

Background

Breast-conserving surgery with radiation therapy is the standard approach for early breast cancer. It provides much better cosmetic effects as well as the same level of overall survival as compared to a mastectomy. Despite strong global evidence supporting breast-conserving surgery, it is less preferred in Nepal, and its outcome in the Nepali context is poorly documented.

Objective

To assess the oncological outcomes of breast-conserving surgery at a tertiary cancer centre in Nepal.

Method

This hospital-based retrospective cohort study was done in Bhaktapur Cancer Hospital, Nepal, from 2012 to 2018. All the breast cancer patients who underwent breast-conserving surgery were included in the study and were followed up for at least 5 years postoperatively till 2024 with clinical examination and radiological investigations. The statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 22. The measurement data with a normal distribution were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation.

Result

The study included 100 patients, with a median age of 43 years (range 25-73 years), and a mean tumor size of 26.34 ± 8.6 mm. The mean hospital stay was 3.9 ± 1.08 days, and 94% patients had no complications. Histologically, invasive carcinoma of no special type was the most common. Five-year disease-free survival and five-year overall survival rate were 96% and 97% respectively.

Conclusion

Our study concluded that Breast Conserving Surgery has a shorter hospital stay, fewer complications, and good oncological outcomes, so it is a simple and feasible technique for patients with early breast cancer.

KEY WORDS

Breast cancer, Breast-conserving therapy, Breast surgery, Radiotherapy

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women, which accounts for 25.1% of all cancers. In 2012 A.D, 1,671,149 new cases of breast cancer were identified, and 521,907 deaths occurred due to breast cancer worldwide.¹ There are numerous risk factors, such as sex, age, estrogen, family history, gene mutations, and unhealthy lifestyle, which can increase the risk of developing breast cancer.² Breast cancer predominantly occurs in women, and the number of cases is 100 times more common in women than in men.³ Early diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer (BC) are important for a good prognosis. Breast-conserving surgery (BCS) is a widely accepted form of treatment in patients with breast cancer.⁴ Oncoplastic BCS was introduced by Audretsch in the 1990s when he first described the technique of reconstructing a partial mastectomy defect using plastic surgery methods as a further refinement of breast conservation, avoiding mastectomy.⁵

The two main goals of the surgeon when performing BCS are to obtain tumor-free margins and achieve a good cosmetic outcome by keeping the amount of healthy breast tissue excision as low as possible. To reduce the risk of local recurrences, conservative procedures imply a postsurgical treatment with radiotherapy, which in the majority of cases is applied to the whole breast with a dosage varying from 50-60 Gy, with or without a boost on the scar.⁶⁻⁸

BCS has been proven to be equally effective as mastectomy in early breast cancer patients in terms of local tumor control, recurrence-free survival (RFS), and overall survival.⁹ But, BCS is not commonly done in Nepal due to the limited access to radiotherapy, or the patient's desire to remove the breast to minimize the risk of local recurrence.¹⁰ The main objective of our study was to assess the oncological outcomes of breast conserving surgery at a tertiary cancer centre in Nepal.

METHODS

This was a retrospective cohort study of breast cancer patients at Bhaktapur Cancer Hospital, Nepal, from 2012 to 2018 A.D. A Convenient sampling method was used, and 100 cases were enrolled in the study. The data were collected based on a preformed performa from hospital records. Ethical approval was taken from the Nepal Health Research Council (Reg. no. 527/2023). All the patients who underwent breast-conserving surgery during the study period were enrolled in the study, and those who were lost to regular follow-up during the study period were excluded from the study.

All the patients underwent lumpectomy with axillary lymph node dissection. A 2 cm margin of normal tissue was removed along with the tumor. Metallic clips were

placed on the pectoralis muscle before the closure of the defect. A drain was placed both in the tumor site and the axilla, as shown in figure 1. All the patients were subjected to adjuvant radiotherapy 40Gy in 15 fractions, followed by 4 fraction boost doses. Triple negative patients were given neoadjuvant chemotherapy based on Adriamycin and Cyclophosphamide for 6 cycles. Patients with positive axillary nodes were treated with an adjuvant chemotherapy regimen of Adriamycin, Doxurubicin, and Cyclophosphamide. Estrogen receptor-positive patients were treated with Tamoxifen 5 mg for 5 years. All the patients were regularly followed for at least five years with clinical examination and radiological investigations.



Figure 1. Intraoperative pictures of Breast Conserving Surgery
A. marking for tumor removal, B. after tumor removal, C. after reconstruction.

Data were entered into an Excel sheet, and the analysis was done using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (IBM SPSS Version 22). The measurement data with a normal distribution were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation.

RESULTS

The median age of the patients was 43 years (range 25-73 years). All the patients were female, and the majority of them were premenopausal (75%). The mean tumor size was 26.34 mm (SD 8.6 mm). Baseline characteristics of patients are shown in table 1. Tumors were commonly found in the left breast in sixty-three (63%) patients, and in the upper outer quadrant in forty-two (42%) patients. Most of the patients had no postoperative complications (94%). Four patients developed seroma, one patient had limb edema, and one patient had hematoma.

Invasive carcinoma of no special type (NST) was the most common (89%) histologically, and metaplastic carcinoma was seen in one patient. Most of the tumors were grade II (54%). Estrogen receptor positivity was seen in 78 patients, and four patients were triple negative. Tumor characteristics are shown in table 2.

One (1%) patient developed locoregional recurrence at 1 year, and five (5%) patients developed locoregional recurrence at five years. Five-year disease-free survival was seen in 96% patients and five-year overall survival was seen in 97% patients, as shown in table 3.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics (n=100)

Characteristics	Number (%)
Age (years)	
Median (range)	43 (25 - 73)
Gender	
Female	100 (100)
Male	0
Mean age at menarche \pm standard deviation (years)	14.02 \pm 1.101
Menopausal status	
Premenopausal	75 (75)
Postmenopausal	25 (25)
Family history	
Yes	20 (20)
No	80 (80)
Duration of tumor (days)	
Median (range)	28 (14 - 90)
Tumor laterality	
Right	37 (37)
Left	63 (63)
Mean tumor size \pm standard deviation (mm)	26.34 \pm 8.6
Tumor site	
UOQ	42 (42)
LOQ	37 (37)
UIQ	10 (10)
LIQ	11 (11)
Axillary lymph node status	
Positive	31 (31)
Negative	69 (69)
Mean hospital stay \pm standard deviation (days)	3.9 \pm 1.08
Complications	
No	94(94)
Yes	6(6)
Seroma	4
Hematoma	1
Limb edema	1

DISCUSSIONS

Breast-conserving surgery is an effective alternative for early breast cancer patients, offering comparable oncological outcomes to mastectomy, while preserving the cosmetic appearance of the breast. The median age of patients in our study was 43 years, which is similar to the Indian report, but younger than the patients in Western countries, where the median age is often around 60-65 years.¹¹⁻¹⁶ Younger age at presentation in women in the Indian subcontinent with breast cancer is more likely to be due to the differences in the population structure rather than major differences in the tumor biology. The majority

Table 2. Tumor characteristics (n = 100)

Characteristics	Number (%)
Tumor grade	
Grade I	3 (3)
Grade II	54 (54)
Grade III	43 (43)
Tumor histology	
Invasive carcinoma of NST	89 (89)
Carcinoma with medullary features	9 (9)
Mixed invasive carcinoma of NST & Invasive lobular carcinoma	1 (1)
Metaplastic carcinoma	1 (1)
Receptor status	
ER positive	78 (78)
PR positive	67 (67)
Her2 positive	40 (40)
Triple negative	4 (4)

Table 3. Post-operative prognosis

Characteristics	Number (%)
Locoregional recurrence at 1 year	1 (1)
Locoregional recurrence at 5 year	5 (5)
Five years disease free survival	96 (96)
Five years overall survival	97 (97)

of the patients were premenopausal (75%), which is consistent with the younger age distribution observed in our study. The mean tumor size of 26.34 mm is in contrast to the 15-20 mm in most Western reports of primary BCS.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ This suggests the relatively late presentation, possibly from a lack of public awareness and no population-based breast cancer screening programs. All the tumors in this study were unicentric, but BCS can be done in multicentric breast cancer with good results regarding disease-free survival and recurrence.²⁰ In our study, invasive carcinoma of no special type (NST) was the most common type histologically (89%), which is similar to Veronesi et al.⁴

The high prevalence of estrogen receptor positivity (78%) and progesterone receptor positivity (67%) in our study is similar to the 17-37% incidence of ER or PR negative tumors in Western studies, and it suggests a favorable response to adjuvant endocrine therapy.^{21,22} The incidence of triple-negative breast cancer in our study was low (4%), which is usually associated with poorer prognosis and limited treatment options.

The adequacy of surgery can be judged by the incidence of positive surgical margins and the median number of axillary nodes dissected. In our study, positive resection margins were found in only 4% patients, and a median of 11 axillary nodes were dissected, which is comparable to other studies.²³⁻²⁵ Postoperative complications were

minimal, with seroma being the most common, affecting 4% of patients. These findings are consistent with Van et al. indicating low complication rates with BCS compared to mastectomy.⁸ The relatively low complication rate underscores the feasibility of BCS in a resource-limited setting like Nepal, where access to advanced surgical techniques and postoperative care may not be possible. The mean hospital stay of 3.9 days in our study indicates a shorter hospital stay, which can reduce healthcare costs and optimize resource allocation.

Our study showed a locoregional recurrence in five (5%) patients at five years, which is lower than Bekkum et al.²⁶ Some previously published data reported a locoregional recurrence risk of up to 30%.²⁷⁻²⁹ Of these five patients, three patients had local recurrences only, one had a regional recurrence, and one patient had local as well as regional recurrence. All the local recurrence patients underwent surgery, either wide excision or mastectomy. The patient with regional recurrence underwent excision of

REFERENCES

1. Ghoncheh M, Pournamdar Z, Salehiniya H. Incidence and Mortality and Epidemiology of Breast Cancer in the World. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2016;17(S3):43-6. doi: 10.7314/apjcp.2016.17.s3.43. PMID: 27165206.
2. Majeed W, Aslam B, Javed I, Khalil T, Muhammad F, Ali A, et al. Breast cancer: major risk factors and recent developments in treatment. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2014;15(8):3353-8. doi: 10.7314/apjcp.2014.15.8.3353. PMID: 24870721.
3. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer Statistics, 2017. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2017 Jan;67(1):7-30. doi: 10.3322/caac.21387. Epub 2017 Jan 5. PMID: 28055103.
4. Veronesi U, Saccozzi R, Del Vecchio M, Banfi A, Clemente C, De Lena M, et al. Comparing radical mastectomy with quadrantectomy, axillary dissection, and radiotherapy in patients with small cancers of the breast. *N Engl J Med.* 1981 Jul 2;305(1):6-11. doi: 10.1056/NEJM198107023050102. PMID: 7015141.
5. Audretsch WP. Reconstruction of the partial mastectomy defect: classification and method. *Surgery of the breast: principles and art.* Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 2006:179-216.
6. NIH consensus conference. Treatment of early-stage breast cancer. *JAMA.* 1991 Jan 16;265(3):391-5. PMID: 1984541.
7. Amalric R, Santamaria F, Robert F, Seigle J, Altschuler C, Kurtz JM, et al. Radiation therapy with or without primary limited surgery for operable breast cancer: A 20-year experience at the Marseilles Cancer Institute. *Cancer.* 1982 Jan 1;49(1):30-4. doi: 10.1002/1097-0142(19820101)49:1<30::AID-CNCR2820490107>3E3.0.CO;2-L.
8. Van Dongen JA, Bartelink H, Fentiman IS, Lerut T, Mignolet F, Olthuis G, et al. Randomized clinical trial to assess the value of breast-conserving therapy in stage I and II breast cancer, EORTC 10801 trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr.* 1992;(11):15-8. PMID: 1627421.
9. Blichert-Toft M, Nielsen M, Düring M, Møller S, Rank F, Overgaard M, et al. Long-term results of breast conserving surgery vs. mastectomy for early stage invasive breast cancer: 20-year follow-up of the Danish randomized DBCG-82TM protocol. *Acta Oncol.* 2008;47(4):672-81. doi: 10.1080/02841860801971439. PMID: 18465335.
10. BKMCHcF. BPKMCH Annual Report 2018 [Internet]. 2019.
11. Dinshaw KA, Sarin R, Budrukkar AN, Shrivastava SK, Deshpande DD, Chinoy RF, et al. Safety and feasibility of breast conserving therapy in Indian women: two decades of experience at Tata Memorial Hospital. *J Surg Oncol.* 2006 Aug 1;94(2):105-13. doi: 10.1002/jso.20497. PMID: 16847919.
12. Fowble BL, Schultz DJ, Overmoyer B, Solin LJ, Fox K, Jardines L, et al. The influence of young age on outcome in early stage breast cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1994 Aug 30;30(1):23-33. doi: 10.1016/0360-3016(94)90515-0. PMID: 8083119.
13. Nixon AJ, Neuberg D, Hayes DF, Gelman R, Connolly JL, Schnitt S, et al. Relationship of patient age to pathologic features of the tumor and prognosis for patients with stage I or II breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 1994 May;12(5):888-94. doi: 10.1200/JCO.1994.12.5.888. PMID: 8164038.
14. Recht A, Connolly JL, Schnitt SJ, Silver B, Rose MA, Love S, et al. The effect of young age on tumor recurrence in the treated breast after conservative surgery and radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1988 Jan;14(1):3-10. doi: 10.1016/0360-3016(88)90043-0. PMID: 3335459.
15. Bonnier P, Romain S, Charpin C, Lejeune C, Tubiana N, Martin PM, et al. Age as a prognostic factor in breast cancer: relationship to pathologic and biologic features. *Int J Cancer.* 1995 Jul 17;62(2):138-44. doi: 10.1002/ijc.2910620205. PMID: 7622286.
16. Albain KS, Allred DC, Clark GM. Breast cancer outcome and predictors of outcome: are there age differentials? *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr.* 1994;(16):35-42. PMID: 7999467.
17. Veronesi U, Banfi A, Salvadori B, Luini A, Saccozzi R, Zucali R, et al. Breast conservation is the treatment of choice in small breast cancer: long-term results of a randomized trial. *Eur J Cancer.* 1990;26(6):668-70. doi: 10.1016/0277-5379(90)90113-8. PMID: 2144152.
18. Sarrazin D, Lê MG, Arriagada R, Contesso G, Fontaine F, Spielmann M, et al. Ten-year results of a randomized trial comparing a conservative treatment to mastectomy in early breast cancer. *Radiother Oncol.* 1989 Mar;14(3):177-84. doi: 10.1016/0167-8140(89)90165-5. PMID: 2652199.
19. Fisher B, Redmond C, Poisson R, Margolese R, Wolmark N, Wickerham L, et al. Eight-year results of a randomized clinical trial comparing total mastectomy and lumpectomy with or without irradiation in the treatment of breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 1989 Mar 30;320(13):822-8. doi: 10.1056/NEJM1989033021302. Erratum in: *N Engl J Med* 1994 May 19;330(20):1467. PMID: 2927449.

20. Zervoudis S, Iatrakis G, Mares P, Boileau L, Grammatikakis I, Evangelinakis N, et al. Breast conserving surgery in multicentric breast cancer, preliminary data of our experience. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol.* 2014;35(5):530-4. PMID: 25423698.

21. Froud PJ, Mates D, Jackson JS, Phillips N, Andersen S, Jackson SM, et al. Effect of time interval between breast-conserving surgery and radiation therapy on ipsilateral breast recurrence. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2000 Jan 15;46(2):363-72. doi: 10.1016/s0360-3016(99)00412-5. PMID: 10661343.

22. Gapstur SM, Dupuis J, Gann P, Collila S, Winchester DP. Hormone receptor status of breast tumors in black, Hispanic, and non-Hispanic white women. An analysis of 13,239 cases. *Cancer Int J of the Amer Cancer Soc.* 1996 Apr 15;77(8):1465-71. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0142(19960415)77:8<1465::AID-CNCR7>3.0.CO;2-B. PMID: 8608530.

23. Blichert-Toft M, Rose C, Andersen JA, Overgaard M, Axelsson CK, Andersen KW, et al. Danish randomized trial comparing breast conservation therapy with mastectomy: six years of life-table analysis. Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr.* 1992;(11):19-25. PMID: 1627427.

24. Meric F, Mirza NQ, Vlastos G, Buchholz TA, Kuerer HM, Babiera GV, et al. Positive surgical margins and ipsilateral breast tumor recurrence predict disease-specific survival after breast-conserving therapy. *Cancer.* 2003 Feb 15;97(4):926-33. doi: 10.1002/cncr.11222. PMID: 12569592.

25. Gage I, Recht A, Gelman R, Nixon AJ, Silver B, Bornstein BA, et al. Long-term outcome following breast-conserving surgery and radiation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1995 Sep 30;33(2):245-51. doi: 10.1016/0360-3016(95)02001-R. PMID: 7673011.

26. Van Bekkum S, Drukker C, van Rosmalen J, Menke-Pluijmers MBE, Westenend PJ. A low risk of recurrence after breast-conserving surgery for DCIS: A single-institution experience. *Cancer Treat Res Commun.* 2023;35:100706. doi: 10.1016/j.ctarc.2023.100706. Epub 2023 Apr 11. PMID: 37058969.

27. Lari SA, Kuerer HM. Biological Markers in DCIS and Risk of Breast Recurrence: A Systematic Review. *J Cancer.* 2011 May 1;2:232-61. doi: 10.7150/jca.2.232. PMID: 21552384; PMCID: PMC3088863.

28. Wapnir IL, Dignam JJ, Fisher B, Mamounas EP, Anderson SJ, Julian TB, et al. Long-term outcomes of invasive ipsilateral breast tumor recurrences after lumpectomy in NSABP B-17 and B-24 randomized clinical trials for DCIS. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2011 Mar 16;103(6):478-88. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djr027. Epub 2011 Mar 11. PMID: 21398619; PMCID: PMC3107729.

29. EORTC Breast Cancer Cooperative Group; EORTC Radiotherapy Group; Bijker N, Meijnen P, Peterse JL, Bogaerts J, Van Hoorebeeck I, Julian JP, et al. Breast-conserving treatment with or without radiotherapy in ductal carcinoma-in-situ: ten-year results of European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer randomized phase III trial 10853-a study by the EORTC Breast Cancer Cooperative Group and EORTC Radiotherapy Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006 Jul 20;24(21):3381-7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2006.06.1366. Epub 2006 Jun 26. PMID: 16801628.